

JUN 25 2004

OFFICIAL

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being sent via facsimile to the RightFax facsimile number provided on the Office web site for all facsimile transmissions (703-872-9306) on the date shown below. This transmission includes a total of 5 pages.

Claudia Bader

(Type or print name of person faxing paper)

Date: June 25, 2004Claudia Bader
(Signature of person faxing paper)

AVERP2987USC

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Michael Hannington

Serial No.: 10/713,229

Filed: November 14, 2003

:
:
:
:
:
:
:

Group Art Unit: 2872

Examiner: M.Y. Sikder

For: REAR PROJECTION SCREENS AND LIGHT FILTERS WITH CONFORMABLE
COATINGS AND METHODS OF MAKING THE SAME

LETTER

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This Letter is in response to the Communication mailed from the Patent Office on June 15, 2004. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-15, 18 and 21 are pending in the application, and the Examiner has indicated that these claims would be allowable upon the filing of a terminal disclaimer. It is the Examiner's position that the subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter. The Examiner further suggested that there was no apparent reason why the claims corresponding to those of the instant

application were not presented during the prosecution of the application which matured into a patent.

In response to the double patenting rejection, Applicant hereby submits a terminal disclaimer and the required fee of \$110.00 which should overcome the double patenting rejection. For the record, all of the present claims were presented during the prosecution of the application which matured into U.S. Patent 6,695,453.

We authorize the USPTO to charge the amount of \$110.00 to cover the cost of filing a terminal disclaimer. Please charge the fee to our Deposit Account No. 18-0988, Our Order No. AVERP2987USC. A duplicate copy of this letter is enclosed.

CONCLUSION

In view of the filing of the terminal disclaimer, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-15, 18 and 21 are allowable, and an early action to this effect is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP

By Armand P. Boisselle
Armand P. Boisselle
Reg. No. 22,381

1621 Euclid Avenue
Nineteenth Floor
Cleveland, Ohio 44115
(216) 621-1113

JUN 25 2004


CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

OFFICIAL

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being sent via facsimile to the RightFax facsimile number provided on the Office web site for all facsimile transmissions (703-872-9306) on the date shown below. This transmission includes a total of 5 pages.

Claudia Bader

(Type or print name of person faxing paper)

Date: June 25, 2004
(Signature of person faxing paper)

COPY

AVERP2987USC

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Michael Hannington

Group Art Unit: 2872

Serial No.: 10/713,229

Examiner: M.Y. Sikder

Filed: November 14, 2003

For: REAR PROJECTION SCREENS AND LIGHT FILTERS WITH CONFORMABLE
COATINGS AND METHODS OF MAKING THE SAME

LETTER

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This Letter is in response to the Communication mailed from the Patent Office on June 15, 2004. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-15, 18 and 21 are pending in the application, and the Examiner has indicated that these claims would be allowable upon the filing of a terminal disclaimer. It is the Examiner's position that the subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter. The Examiner further suggested that there was no apparent reason why the claims corresponding to those of the instant

application were not presented during the prosecution of the application which matured into a patent.

In response to the double patenting rejection, Applicant hereby submits a terminal disclaimer and the required fee of \$110.00 which should overcome the double patenting rejection. For the record, all of the present claims were presented during the prosecution of the application which matured into U.S. Patent 6,695,453.

We authorize the USPTO to charge the amount of \$110.00 to cover the cost of filing a terminal disclaimer. Please charge the fee to our Deposit Account No. 18-0988, Our Order No. AVERP2987USC. A duplicate copy of this letter is enclosed.

CONCLUSION

In view of the filing of the terminal disclaimer, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-15, 18 and 21 are allowable, and an early action to this effect is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP

By Armand P. Boisselle
Armand P. Boisselle
Reg. No. 22,381

1621 Euclid Avenue
Nineteenth Floor
Cleveland, Ohio 44115
(216) 621-1113